The Known, The Unknown, and The Unknowable: A Research Report on the State of Human Brain Comprehension

Part I: The Known World: Mapping the Brain's Physical and Functional Landscape

The human brain, a three-pound organ of staggering complexity, serves as the seat of intelligence, the interpreter of senses, the initiator of movement, and the controller of behavior.[1] Composed of approximately 60% fat with the remainder being water, protein, carbohydrates, and salts, it is the command center of the central nervous system (CNS), processing, integrating, and coordinating the vast streams of information that define our existence.[2] For centuries, this organ was a true black box, its inner workings accessible only through the study of injury or philosophical speculation. Today, through advances in imaging, molecular biology, and electrophysiology, a significant portion of its physical and functional landscape has been mapped. This foundational knowledge, while impressive, also serves to delineate the vast territories that remain unexplored. This first part of the report details the bedrock of our current neuroscientific understanding—the brain's architecture, its cellular machinery, its information pathways, and the basic principles of how it encodes experience. This exploration of the "known world" will establish the necessary framework for confronting the profound mysteries that lie beyond its borders.

The Grand Architecture: From Lobes to Limbic Systems

The brain's overall structure is well-documented, revealing a hierarchical and compartmentalized design. It is broadly divided into three principal units: the cerebrum, the brainstem, and the cerebellum.[2] Protected by the skull and suspended in cerebrospinal fluid, this intricate organ is the source of all qualities that define our humanity.[1]

The Cerebrum: The Seat of Higher Cognition

The cerebrum is the largest and most developed part of the human brain, responsible for higher cognitive functions. It is divided into two distinct cerebral hemispheres, a right and a left, which are connected by a massive bundle of nerve fibers known as the corpus callosum. This structure facilitates communication between the two halves, allowing for the integration of their specialized functions.[1] While the hemispheres are broadly symmetrical, they exhibit functional lateralization; for instance, language processing is primarily localized to the left hemisphere for most individuals, whereas visual-spatial abilities are more dominant in the right.[1]

Each hemisphere has an inner core of white matter and an outer surface, the cerebral cortex, composed of grey matter.[2] White matter consists predominantly of myelinated axons, the long "cables" that transmit signals between brain regions, while grey matter is primarily composed of neuron cell bodies (somas), where information processing and interpretation occur.[4] This fundamental division reflects the brain's dual needs: to process information locally within grey matter regions and to transmit it rapidly across distances via white matter tracts. The cerebral cortex itself is a highly folded structure, with ridges (gyri) and grooves (sulci) that dramatically increase its surface area, a key evolutionary adaptation that allowed for the expansion of cognitive abilities within the confines of the skull.[3] Accounting for half the brain's total weight, the cortex is the epicenter of thought, language, memory, and consciousness.[3]

The cortex of each hemisphere is further subdivided into four principal lobes, each associated with a distinct set of functions:

- **The Frontal Lobe:** Located at the front of the brain, this is the largest lobe and is considered the hub of executive function. It is involved in planning, decision-making, problem-solving, self-control, personality characteristics, and abstract thought.[1] The rearmost portion of the frontal lobe contains the motor cortex, which plans and executes voluntary movements.[1] It also houses Broca's area, a region critical for the production of speech.[4]
- **The Parietal Lobe:** Situated behind the frontal lobe, the parietal lobe is a key integration center for sensory information. It processes sensations of touch, pain, and temperature from the body via the somatosensory cortex.[1] It is also crucial for understanding spatial relationships, navigating the environment, and performing tasks like reading and arithmetic.[1] The parietal lobe contains Wernicke's area, which is essential for the comprehension of spoken language.[4]
- **The Temporal Lobe:** Located on the sides of the brain, the temporal lobes are primarily responsible for processing auditory information. They are also deeply involved in memory, as they contain the hippocampus, and play roles in emotion, speech comprehension, and some aspects of visual perception and smell recognition.[2]
- **The Occipital Lobe:** At the very back of the brain, the occipital lobe is almost exclusively dedicated to vision. It receives, processes, and interprets visual information sent from the eyes, allowing us to see the world.[2]

Deep Structures: The Brain's Ancient Core

Hidden beneath the vast expanse of the cerebral cortex lie a collection of evolutionarily older structures that regulate our emotions, memories, and basic drives. These subcortical structures form a critical interface between the higher cognitive functions of the cortex and the basic life-sustaining functions of the brainstem.[1] Key components include:

- **The Limbic System:** Often referred to as the "emotional brain," this system includes the amygdala, which is central to processing emotions like fear, and the hippocampus, which acts as a memory indexer, crucial for forming new long-term memories.[1]

- **The Thalamus:** This structure acts as a central relay station, receiving sensory information from all over the body (with the exception of smell) and directing it to the appropriate areas of the cerebral cortex for further processing.[2]
- **The Hypothalamus:** Located below the thalamus, the hypothalamus regulates a host of vital functions and drives, including body temperature, hunger, thirst, and the sleep-wake cycle. It controls the pituitary gland, making it a key link between the nervous system and the endocrine (hormonal) system.[2]
- **The Basal Ganglia:** These are clusters of nerve cells that surround the thalamus and are primarily responsible for initiating and integrating movements. Dysfunction in the basal ganglia is a hallmark of movement disorders like Parkinson's disease.[1]

The Brainstem and Cerebellum: Life Support and Movement Coordination

The brainstem forms the connection between the cerebrum and the spinal cord and consists of the midbrain, the pons, and the medulla oblongata.[2] This region is the control center for the body's most vital autonomic functions, including respiration, heart rate, and blood pressure.[1] The midbrain is also involved in reflex actions and the control of eye movements.[1]

Connected to the brainstem is the cerebellum, or "little brain".[3] This densely wrinkled structure is a master coordinator of voluntary movement, posture, and balance. While it does not initiate movement, it refines and smooths out motor commands from the cerebrum, enabling fluid and precise actions. It is also involved in learning and remembering motor skills, from riding a bicycle to playing a musical instrument.[1]

The detailed anatomical map of the brain reveals a core organizational principle: functional segregation combined with massive integration. Specific functions are clearly localized to discrete regions, such as vision to the occipital lobe or basic life support to the brainstem. However, these specialized regions are not islands; they are intricately connected by a vast network of white matter tracts. This architecture, which is both modular and highly networked, provides the first major clue as to why understanding the brain is so challenging. It suggests that while we can know *where* a function primarily resides, a full understanding of that function—especially emergent properties like thought or consciousness—requires comprehending the integrated activity of the entire network. The physical map itself, therefore, foreshadows the limits of a purely localizationist approach.

The Cellular Machinery: Neurons, Glia, and the Synaptic Dance

Moving from the grand architecture to the microscopic level, the brain is composed of a complex ecosystem of cells. For decades, the neuron was considered the undisputed protagonist of neural function. However, a more nuanced understanding has emerged, revealing an intricate partnership between neurons and a vast population of non-neuronal cells known as glia. Together, these cells form the machinery that underlies every thought, feeling, and action.

Neurons: The Information Messengers

The primary functional units of the nervous system are neurons, specialized cells designed for communication.[1] The human brain contains an estimated 86 billion neurons, a figure that was not reliably established until relatively recently.[2] Each neuron acts as a tiny information processor and has three main parts [6]:

- **The Soma (Cell Body):** The neuron's core, containing the nucleus and genetic information. It maintains the cell's structure and provides the energy needed for its activities.[6]
- **Dendrites:** These are branching, tree-like extensions that serve as the primary input sites for the neuron. They are covered in receptors that receive chemical signals from other neurons.[6]
- **The Axon:** A single, long fiber that extends from the soma and acts as the neuron's output tract. It transmits electrical signals, known as action potentials, away from the cell body to other neurons, muscles, or glands.[6] Many axons are covered in a fatty, insulating substance called the myelin sheath, which dramatically increases the speed of signal transmission.[6]

Neurons are broadly classified into three functional types: sensory neurons, which transmit information from sensory receptors (e.g., in the skin or eyes) to the CNS; motor neurons, which carry commands from the CNS to muscles and glands; and interneurons, the most common type, which form complex circuits connecting sensory and motor neurons within the CNS.[6]

Glial Cells: The Brain's Active Support System

For much of neuroscience history, glial cells were relegated to a passive, supportive role, considered little more than the "glue" (the literal meaning of *glia*) that held the all-important neurons in place. It is now understood that glial cells are roughly as numerous as neurons and are active, indispensable participants in brain function.[2] This re-evaluation represents a significant paradigm shift in our understanding of the brain's cellular ecosystem. The main types of glial cells in the CNS are [12]:

- **Astrocytes:** The most abundant glial cells, these star-shaped cells perform a remarkable variety of tasks. They provide physical and nutritional support to neurons, help form the blood-brain barrier that protects the brain from toxins, regulate the chemical environment of the synapse by clearing excess neurotransmitters, and respond to injury by forming glial scars (gliosis).[11] They are active partners in synaptic processes.
- **Oligodendrocytes:** These cells are responsible for producing the myelin sheath that insulates axons within the CNS. A single oligodendrocyte can myelinate multiple axons, wrapping them in layers of membrane to allow for rapid, efficient nerve impulse conduction, a process known as saltatory conduction.[11]
- **Microglia:** These are the brain's resident immune cells. They are of monocyte origin and act as the CNS's dedicated macrophages, constantly surveying the environment for pathogens, damaged cells, or debris, which they remove through phagocytosis.[11] They

play a critical role in neuroinflammation.

- **Ependymal Cells:** These epithelial cells line the ventricles (the fluid-filled cavities of the brain) and the central canal of the spinal cord. They are involved in the production, circulation, and regulation of cerebrospinal fluid (CSF).[13]

In the peripheral nervous system (PNS), the primary glial cells are Schwann cells, which myelinate axons (unlike oligodendrocytes, one Schwann cell myelinates only a single axon), and satellite cells, which surround neuron cell bodies in ganglia and are thought to play a supportive role similar to astrocytes.[11]

This modern, more holistic understanding of the brain's cellular components has profound implications. It moves the field away from a purely neuron-centric model to a "neuro-glial network" model, where all cell types are in constant communication and dynamically influence information processing. This shift is crucial for understanding brain disorders. For example, processes like neuroinflammation, mediated by microglia and astrocytes, are no longer seen as mere side effects of disease but are now recognized as central players in the pathology of conditions like Alzheimer's disease, multiple sclerosis, and even major depression.[13] Thus, even what we consider "known" about the brain's cellular machinery is a landscape of recent and dramatic revision, hinting at the complexity yet to be uncovered.

The Synapse and Neurotransmission: The Language of the Brain

Communication between neurons occurs at specialized junctions called synapses.[6] This process, known as neurotransmission, is a marvel of electrochemical engineering. When a neuron is sufficiently stimulated, it generates an electrical impulse, or action potential, that travels rapidly down its axon.[6]

Upon reaching the axon terminal, this electrical signal triggers the release of chemical messengers called neurotransmitters from small sacs known as synaptic vesicles.[10] These neurotransmitters diffuse across the tiny gap between neurons—the synaptic cleft—and bind to specific receptor proteins on the dendrites of the adjacent neuron.[8] This binding acts like a key fitting into a lock; each neurotransmitter type has a corresponding receptor shape.[8] The binding opens ion channels on the postsynaptic neuron's membrane, converting the chemical signal back into an electrical signal. Depending on the neurotransmitter and receptor involved, this signal can be either excitatory (making the receiving neuron more likely to fire its own action potential) or inhibitory (making it less likely to fire).[10] Through this constant, intricate dance of electrical and chemical signals across trillions of synapses, the brain performs all of its computations.

The Information Superhighways: Sensory and Motor Pathways

The brain does not operate in isolation; it is in constant dialogue with the body and the external world. This communication is managed by a highly organized network of neural pathways, or tracts, that function like information superhighways. These pathways are broadly divided into two categories: ascending tracts that carry sensory information to the brain, and descending tracts that carry motor commands from the brain.[16]

A fundamental principle governing many of these pathways is contralateral control. Sensory information from the right side of the body is generally processed by the left cerebral hemisphere, and motor commands from the left hemisphere control the right side of the body. This crossing-over, known as decussation, typically occurs in the brainstem or spinal cord.[5]

Ascending (Sensory) Pathways: Relaying the World to the Brain

Sensory pathways are responsible for transmitting all our sensations—touch, pain, temperature, vibration, and our sense of body position (proprioception)—from peripheral receptors up to the cerebral cortex for conscious perception.[16] These pathways typically follow a three-neuron chain: a first-order neuron carries the signal from the receptor into the spinal cord; it synapses with a second-order neuron that ascends to the thalamus in the brain; finally, the second-order neuron synapses with a third-order neuron in the thalamus, which projects to the primary somatosensory cortex.[16] The major ascending tracts include:

- **The Dorsal Column-Medial Lemniscus Pathway:** This pathway is responsible for conveying sensations of fine, discriminative touch, vibration, and conscious proprioception. It travels up the dorsal (posterior) part of thespinal cord.[5]
- **The Spinothalamic Tract:** This pathway transmits information about pain, temperature, and crude (non-discriminative) touch. It is a critical pathway for alerting the brain to potentially harmful stimuli.[5]
- **The Spinocerebellar Tracts:** These tracts convey unconscious proprioceptive information from muscles and joints directly to the cerebellum. This information is not for conscious perception but is essential for the cerebellum to coordinate and refine ongoing movements.[16]

Descending (Motor) Pathways: Executing the Brain's Commands

Descending pathways carry motor commands from the brain down to the spinal cord to control the body's muscles. These pathways are functionally grouped into two major systems, a distinction that provides a powerful, concrete example of how the brain segregates conscious from unconscious processing at a fundamental neuroanatomical level.[17]

- **The Pyramidal System:** This system is responsible for the conscious, voluntary control of body and facial muscles. It includes the corticospinal and corticobulbar tracts, which originate primarily from the motor cortex.[17] The system gets its name from its passage through the medullary pyramids in the brainstem, where most of its fibers decussate to the opposite side of the body.[18] Damage to the pyramidal system results in paralysis or muscle weakness.
- **The Extrapyramidal System:** This system controls involuntary and automatic aspects of movement, such as posture, muscle tone, balance, and reflexive actions. Its tracts, including the reticulospinal, vestibulospinal, and rubrospinal tracts, originate in the brainstem, not the cerebral cortex, and do not pass through the medullary pyramids.[17] Damage to the extrapyramidal system does not cause paralysis but leads to movement disorders (dyskinesia) such as the tremors, rigidity, and postural instability seen in Parkinson's disease.[18]

This clear anatomical and functional separation between the pyramidal (conscious, voluntary) and extrapyramidal (unconscious, automatic) systems is not merely a detail of neuroanatomy;

it is a foundational principle of brain organization. It demonstrates that the brain is not a monolithic "conscious organ." Rather, consciousness appears to be a specific operational mode—the domain of the pyramidal system—layered atop a vast, complex, and highly efficient unconscious processing apparatus that handles the moment-to-moment business of postural adjustments and reflexive control. This physical separation provides a crucial anchor for the more abstract discussions of consciousness that will follow, showing that the distinction is wired into the very fabric of the nervous system.

The Neural Code: How the Brain Encodes and Stores Information

One of the most fundamental questions in neuroscience is how the brain translates the fleeting river of experience into durable physical changes that represent memories. The field of neural coding seeks to understand the language of the brain—the rules by which neurons represent information about the world.[20] While the complete dictionary remains elusive, significant progress has been made in understanding the basic "grammar" and the "hardware" of memory storage.

Theoretical Models of the Neural Code

Neural coding theory explores how attributes of a stimulus are represented by the firing of neurons. This can be viewed from two perspectives: neural encoding, which maps a stimulus to a neural response, and neural decoding, which attempts to reconstruct a stimulus from an observed neural response.[20] Several coding schemes have been proposed to explain how information is carried in the sequence of action potentials, or "spike trains" [20]:

- **Rate Coding:** This is the simplest model, suggesting that information is encoded in the average number of spikes a neuron fires over a period of time. A more intense stimulus would lead to a higher firing rate.
- **Temporal Coding:** This more complex model posits that the precise timing of individual spikes carries information. The specific pattern of spikes, not just their average rate, could encode features of a stimulus.
- **Population Coding:** This model suggests that information is not represented by a single neuron but by the combined activity of a large ensemble of neurons. A specific stimulus or concept would be represented by a unique pattern of firing across a distributed population of cells.

The Mechanisms of Memory Allocation

When a memory is formed, not every neuron that is stimulated is recruited to store it. The process by which the brain selects a specific subset of neurons and synapses to undergo the plastic changes necessary for memory encoding is known as memory allocation.[22]

- **Neuronal Allocation:** At the cellular level, a neuron's excitability appears to be a key factor in its recruitment into a memory trace, or "engram." Neurons that are more easily excited are more likely to be allocated to a memory.[22] A crucial molecular player in this process is the transcription factor
  CREB (cAMP responsive element-binding protein). When activated, CREB initiates the

transcription of genes that lead to the synthesis of proteins that stabilize long-term changes in the neuron's structure and function, effectively locking it into the memory circuit.[22]
- **Synaptic Allocation:** Within a recruited neuron, not all synapses are strengthened. The process of long-term potentiation (LTP), a long-lasting enhancement of signal transmission between two neurons, is the primary mechanism of synaptic strengthening. A key model for how specific synapses are selected is **synaptic tagging and capture**. According to this model, a weak stimulus might "tag" a synapse, marking it as a candidate for strengthening. If a strong, memory-forming event occurs elsewhere in the neuron shortly thereafter, it can trigger the production of plasticity-related proteins. These proteins can then be "captured" by any tagged synapse, stabilizing and strengthening a memory that would otherwise have faded.[22]

Furthermore, recent research demonstrates that memory is not a passive storage system but an active, dynamic process involving prioritization. When presented with multiple items to remember, the brain amplifies the neural signals in the visual cortex corresponding to the more important items. This "gain" control appears to be regulated by frontal brain regions, which direct attentional resources to shape the quality of memories stored elsewhere.[23]

This exploration of the neural code reveals a critical gap in our knowledge, a gap that serves as a perfect transition into the brain's "black box." We have made remarkable progress in understanding the *mechanisms* of memory. We have identified molecular hardware like CREB and LTP, and we have developed plausible theoretical file formats like rate and temporal coding. However, this knowledge is almost entirely about the *process*, not the *content*. We understand how a synapse might be strengthened, but we have almost no idea how that synaptic strengthening encodes the subjective, qualitative experience—the "what-it-is-like"—of the memory itself. Knowing that CREB is involved in storing the memory of a rose does not explain why the recalled experience is of a rose's specific scent, color, and texture, and not a trumpet's sound or the feeling of sadness. This disconnect between the physical machinery and the subjective content is a microcosm of the larger "hard problem" of consciousness, and it demonstrates that even in a relatively well-understood domain like memory, our knowledge of the physical substrate does not yet grant us access to the meaning it represents.

Part II: The Penumbra of Knowledge: Probing the Brain's "Black Box"

Having mapped the well-lit territories of the brain's anatomy, cellular components, and basic information pathways, the report now ventures into the penumbra—the vast, shadowy regions where our understanding fades and the brain's most profound mysteries reside. This is the domain of the "black box," where the relationship between physical processes and subjective experience becomes opaque. Here, the brain's most complex and emergent properties—consciousness, self-awareness, and abstract thought—defy simple explanation. The persistent and devastating nature of major brain disorders serves as a stark, clinical testament to the depth of our ignorance. This section confronts these enigmas directly, exploring what we know about what we don't know.

The Enigma of Consciousness: From Neural Correlates to Philosophical Quandaries

Consciousness—the subjective experience of being, the "what-it-is-like" to see a color, feel pain, or think a thought—is arguably the greatest mystery in science and philosophy. While it is the most central and intimate aspect of our existence, it is also the most resistant to objective explanation. The modern study of consciousness is defined by a fundamental tension between what neuroscience can measure and what philosophy argues may be beyond measurement.

The Scientific Search for the Neural Correlates of Consciousness (NCCs)

The primary empirical strategy for studying consciousness is the search for its neural correlates (NCCs). Pioneered by Francis Crick and Christof Koch, this program aims to identify the minimal set of neuronal events and mechanisms that are jointly sufficient for a specific conscious experience.[24] The goal is not to explain *why* these events are conscious, but simply to find the consistent "footprints" of consciousness in the brain.[25]

A powerful experimental paradigm used in this search is binocular rivalry. When different images are presented to each eye simultaneously (e.g., a face to the left eye and a house to the right), a person does not perceive a blended image. Instead, their conscious perception spontaneously alternates between the face and the house every few seconds, even though the physical stimulus remains constant.[26] By tracking brain activity during these perceptual shifts, researchers can isolate the neural changes that correlate specifically with the subjective experience of seeing the face versus seeing the house, separating the correlates of consciousness from the correlates of mere sensory processing.[26]

This research has led to the development of several major theories attempting to explain the large-scale brain dynamics that support consciousness:

- **Global Workspace Theory (GWT):** Developed by psychologist Bernard Baars, GWT proposes that consciousness is analogous to a theater stage. While vast amounts of unconscious processing occur "backstage," information becomes conscious when it gains access to a "global workspace" and is broadcast to a widespread network of brain regions. This global availability allows the information to be used for various cognitive processes, such as attention, memory, and verbal report.[27] In this model, neural inhibition plays a critical role, acting as a gatekeeper that filters what information is allowed to enter the workspace, preventing sensory overload and focusing conscious awareness.[27]
- **Integrated Information Theory (IIT):** Championed by neuroscientist Giulio Tononi and

Christof Koch, IIT takes a more fundamental approach. It posits that consciousness is not a computation or a function, but an intrinsic property of certain complex systems. Specifically, consciousness is identical to a system's capacity for "integrated information," a quantity that can be measured mathematically as Φ (Phi).[25] A system is conscious to the degree that it has causal power upon itself—its current state is determined by its own past states and determines its future states—and is irreducible to the sum of its parts.[25] This theory is notable for two reasons: first, it suggests consciousness is a fundamental property of reality, not just an emergent biological one, and could therefore exist in non-biological systems. Second, it argues that consciousness cannot be simulated; a perfect computer simulation of a brain would lack the intrinsic causal power of the physical system and would therefore not be conscious.[25]

The Philosophical Challenge: The "Hard Problem"

While scientific theories like GWT and IIT attempt to describe the *mechanisms* of consciousness, they run headlong into a deep philosophical challenge articulated by David Chalmers: the "hard problem of consciousness".[30] Chalmers makes a crucial distinction:

- **The "Easy Problems":** These concern the explanation of cognitive functions and abilities. Examples include how the brain integrates information, how it focuses attention, how it discriminates sensory stimuli, or how it controls behavior. These problems are "easy" not because they are simple (they are incredibly complex), but because they are, in principle, amenable to the standard methods of reductive scientific explanation. Once we discover the mechanisms that perform these functions, the problems are solved.[30]
- **The "Hard Problem":** This is the question of *why* and *how* the performance of any of these functions is accompanied by subjective experience, or *qualia*—the raw feels, the "what-it-is-like" character of our mental states.[30] Why does the processing of certain wavelengths of light produce the ineffable experience of seeing red? Why does C-fiber stimulation feel like
  pain? Chalmers argues that even if we were to solve all the easy problems and have a complete neuroscientific account of the brain's functions, the hard problem would remain. An explanatory gap would persist between the objective physical processes and the subjective reality of experience.[30]

The Evolving Perspective of a Leading Thinker: Christof Koch

The intellectual journey of Christof Koch, one of the world's leading consciousness researchers, serves as a powerful case study of the field's profound challenges. Koch began his career as a staunch physicalist, working alongside Nobel laureate Francis Crick in a determinedly empirical search for the NCCs, hoping to reduce consciousness to the actions of neurons.[25] However, decades of research have led him to question the sufficiency of this approach.

He is now a leading advocate for IIT and has publicly stated that a purely physicalist explanation of the mind is likely inadequate.[25] He argues that experience itself is fundamental and cannot be derived from our current understanding of physics. In his own words, "my pain is not the same as my brain," and to explain consciousness, we may need to "pry open the closed box of today's physicalism" and postulate that experience is an additional, fundamental property of the universe.[34] This shift from a leading neuroscientist—from confident reductionist to a proponent of a theory bordering on panpsychism—is a testament to the intractability of the hard problem. It highlights that the entire field of consciousness studies is grappling with a fundamental tension between correlation and causation. Neuroscience is becoming increasingly adept at finding the neural correlates of conscious states, but it has made virtually no progress on explaining *why* those correlations exist. This suggests that the mystery of consciousness may not be a merely technical problem that will be solved by more powerful brain scanners or more detailed connectome maps. It may be a deep conceptual, or even metaphysical, problem. The "black box" of consciousness is unique because, unlike other scientific mysteries, we are not even certain we are equipped with the right kind of conceptual tools to open it.

The Ghost in the Machine: Self-Awareness, Abstract Thought, and Creativity

Beyond the fundamental mystery of raw experience lies the enigma of higher-order cognition—the complex mental functions that seem to define human identity, intelligence, and culture. Our sense of self, our ability to think in abstract terms, and our capacity for creativity are all emergent properties of the brain that remain largely within the "black box." While we can identify the brain networks that are active during these states, we have little understanding of the computational principles that govern them.

The Neurobiology of the Self and the Default Mode Network (DMN)

The brain appears to have a dedicated, large-scale network for processing information that is not directly tied to the immediate external world. This is the **Default Mode Network (DMN)**, a collection of brain regions including the medial prefrontal cortex, the posterior cingulate cortex, and the temporoparietal junction (TPJ).[28] The DMN is most active when we are at rest, not focused on a specific external task. Its activity is associated with a range of inward-focused mental processes: mind-wandering, remembering our past (autobiographical memory), imagining the future, and thinking about the mental states of others (theory of mind).[28]

The DMN is thus considered a core substrate for our sense of self. This "self" is multifaceted. One aspect is **Bodily Self-Consciousness (BSC)**—our experience of owning a body and being located at a specific point in space.[37] Research increasingly shows that BSC is not just a product of external senses (vision, touch) but is profoundly shaped by *interoception*, the sensing of our internal bodily state (e.g., heartbeat, gut feelings).[37] The insular cortex, a key hub for interoceptive processing, is critically involved in anchoring our sense of self to our physical body.[37] This suggests that our most basic sense of being a self is literally grounded in the feeling of our own physiology.

The Neural Basis of Abstract Thought and Creativity

Abstract thought—the ability to conceive of concepts like "justice," "causality," or "infinity" that are not tied to concrete objects—is a hallmark of human intelligence. Functional imaging studies have shown that engaging in abstract thinking shifts brain activity. Whereas concrete thought about how to perform an action activates fronto-parietal networks involved in motor control, thinking abstractly about *why* an action is performed activates regions associated with higher-level meaning and perception, including the DMN.[39]

This link between abstraction and the DMN is further illuminated by the field of **neuroaesthetics**, which studies the brain's response to art.[40] When we view representational art (e.g., a portrait or a landscape), our brain can easily categorize the objects. However, abstract art, which reduces the visual world to its essence of form, line, and color, presents the brain with an ambiguous stimulus.[41] It forces the viewer to move beyond simple recognition and engage in a more active, personal process of interpretation. This interpretive process heavily recruits the DMN and is thought to be a powerful stimulus for imagination and creativity.[36] By challenging the brain's predictive models of the world, abstract art may foster the kind of flexible, out-of-the-box thinking that is the essence of creativity.[42]

The common thread linking the self, abstract thought, and creativity is the DMN. This suggests that the brain's "default" state is not one of passive rest, but of active, internal simulation. It is a constant process of weaving together past experiences, future possibilities, and abstract concepts to create a coherent narrative of self and world. The black box, in this context, is the set of rules governing this internal simulation. We can see the engine of the DMN running, but we do not understand the software it is executing. How does a particular pattern of activity within this network translate into a creative insight, a novel solution to a problem, or the stable, continuous sense of being "me"? This is a frontier of neuroscience where the maps are almost entirely blank.

Case Studies in Uncertainty: The Unresolved Mysteries of Neurological and Psychiatric Disorders

Perhaps the most compelling and humbling evidence for the vastness of our ignorance about the brain comes from our persistent struggles to understand and treat its most devastating disorders. For decades, research into conditions like Alzheimer's disease, schizophrenia, and major depression has followed a path of promising leads that ultimately reveal deeper layers of complexity. These disorders are not merely problems to be solved; they are powerful case studies that illuminate the fundamental gaps in our knowledge of the brain's healthy function. Our inability to reliably fix the system is a direct consequence of our not truly understanding how it works in the first place.

Alzheimer's Disease: The Collapse of a Leading Hypothesis

Alzheimer's disease is a progressive neurodegenerative disorder that is the most common cause of dementia. For over two decades, the field was dominated by the **amyloid cascade hypothesis**, which posited that the disease was caused by the accumulation of amyloid-beta (Aβ) plaques in the brain.[43] This hypothesis drove the development of numerous drugs

designed to clear these plaques.
However, this seemingly straightforward model has largely collapsed. A lethal blow has been the repeated finding from clinicopathological studies that many elderly individuals have heavy amyloid plaque deposition in their brains yet show no signs of dementia.[43] Furthermore, clinical trials for anti-amyloid drugs have consistently yielded negative or at best, marginal, results. This has led many researchers to conclude that the amyloid hypothesis may be a classic
post hoc ergo propter hoc fallacy—mistaking a correlation (the presence of plaques) for a cause.[43]
Today, scientists admit they do not fully understand what causes Alzheimer's in most people.[44] The current view is that it arises from a complex series of brain changes occurring over decades, involving a combination of genetic risk factors (like the APOE ε4 gene), environmental factors, and lifestyle choices.[44] Emerging theories now focus on other potential drivers, including chronic neuroinflammation (involving the brain's immune cells), vascular problems (small-vessel disease), and mitochondrial dysfunction.[43] Major knowledge gaps remain, including how biomarkers like Aβ and tau proteins truly relate to the underlying biology and, most fundamentally, why the disease overwhelmingly strikes older adults.[44]

Schizophrenia: A Diagnosis Without a Biological Marker

Schizophrenia is a severe mental illness characterized by psychosis, cognitive deficits, and emotional dysregulation. Despite its profound impact, its treatment remains an "enormous challenge" for a fundamental reason: we lack any established neuropathological, neurophysiological, or neurochemical measures to diagnose it.[48] The diagnosis is based entirely on the clinical evaluation of signs and symptoms, a process that can vary widely.[48]
The "dopamine hypothesis," which suggested that schizophrenia was caused by excessive dopamine activity, has proven to be an oversimplification. While antipsychotic drugs that block dopamine receptors can alleviate some symptoms, they are not universally effective and do not address the negative symptoms (e.g., lack of motivation) or cognitive deficits that are often more debilitating.
The National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH) highlights that core research challenges include understanding the disorder's origins, finding reliable biomarkers for early detection, and developing treatments that target specific aspects of the illness beyond psychosis.[49] Promising new research is attempting to reclassify psychotic disorders based on biological "biotypes" derived from brain imaging (MRI) and electrophysiology (EEG) data, rather than just symptom clusters. This work has identified distinct patterns of brain activity that cut across traditional diagnostic labels, but it is still in its early stages and has yet to translate into clinical practice.[50]

Major Depressive Disorder (MDD): Beyond the Chemical Imbalance

For years, the public and many clinicians operated under the "chemical imbalance" theory of depression, which posited that the condition was caused by a deficiency of monoamine neurotransmitters like serotonin.[51] This hypothesis was largely inferred from the mechanism of early antidepressant drugs. However, this model is now widely considered insufficient and overly simplistic.[51]

The current etiological model for MDD is a complex biopsychosocial one, acknowledging that depression arises from an intricate interplay of genetic vulnerability, environmental factors (especially childhood adversity and ongoing stress), and psychological traits.[45] The neurobiological focus has shifted to several interconnected systems:

- **HPA Axis Dysfunction:** Chronic stress can lead to hyperactivity of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, the body's central stress response system, resulting in elevated levels of the stress hormone cortisol.[51]
- **Neuroinflammation:** There is growing evidence that chronic, low-grade inflammation, indicated by elevated levels of pro-inflammatory cytokines, plays a role in the pathophysiology of MDD.[54]
- **Impaired Neuroplasticity:** Stress and inflammation may reduce levels of crucial growth factors like Brain-Derived Neurotrophic Factor (BDNF), impairing the brain's ability to adapt and form new connections, particularly in regions like the hippocampus.[51]

While these are promising avenues of research, the precise causal chain remains unknown. An "interaction model" that invokes genetics, environment, and immunity is, in essence, an admission of ignorance about the primary, root mechanism. It is a list of contributing factors, not a definitive explanation. Our ongoing struggles with these major brain disorders serve as a powerful metaphor for our overall understanding of the brain. We are like early cartographers who have mapped some of the coastlines and major rivers—we know dopamine is involved in movement, and serotonin in mood modulation—but the vast interior of the continent, representing the complex, dynamic, and resilient system that produces robust mental health, remains almost entirely *terra incognita*.

Part III: The Limits of Inquiry: The Unknowable and the AI Analogy

The final stage of this inquiry confronts the most challenging aspects: the possibility of fundamental limits to our understanding, the parallel between the brain and the "black box" of artificial intelligence, and a quantitative estimation of our current knowledge. This section synthesizes the evidence of our profound knowledge gaps to argue that some aspects of the brain's function may not just be unknown, but potentially unknowable to human cognition. The modern problem of AI explainability provides a startlingly concrete analogy for this philosophical position.

Cognitive Closure and the "Hard Problem": Can the Brain Understand Itself?

The journey from the brain's well-mapped anatomy to the enigma of consciousness leads to a fundamental philosophical question: Can a finite physical system—the human brain—ever fully comprehend itself? The persistent failure of science to bridge the explanatory gap between physical processes and subjective experience has led some philosophers to propose that the answer may be no.

The premise is simple: the human brain is a product of evolution, a finite organ with specific cognitive capacities shaped by the pressures of survival.[55] It is an instrument of remarkable power, but like any instrument, it has inherent limitations. To believe that the structure of the human mind is perfectly suited to understand every aspect of reality is, as philosopher Thomas Nagel suggests, a form of "intoxicating vanity".[55]

The "hard problem" of consciousness, as previously discussed, serves as the ultimate example of a phenomenon that seems to resist the grasp of our scientific concepts.[30] This has given rise to a philosophical position known as **New Mysterianism**, most famously articulated by Colin McGinn.[55] Mysterianism proposes that the human mind is subject to **"cognitive closure"** with respect to the mind-body problem. The concept is best understood by analogy: a dog's mind is cognitively closed to the principles of calculus. It is not that the dog is not smart enough or lacks sufficient data; its brain simply did not evolve the specific conceptual apparatus required to grasp such abstract mathematics. In the same way, McGinn argues, the human mind may lack the requisite concepts to form a satisfying theory of how consciousness arises from matter.[56]

Crucially, this is not a retreat into supernaturalism or mysticism. McGinn's position, which he calls **Transcendental Naturalism**, posits that there *is* a perfectly natural, physical explanation for consciousness. However, that explanation is "transcendent" of our cognitive abilities.[56] The properties of the brain that generate consciousness are, in this view, as inaccessible to our introspection and scientific modeling as the properties of spacetime are to the introspection of a bat. The mystery of consciousness, therefore, may not be an objective feature of the world, but a subjective feature of our limited cognitive toolkit.

This philosophical stance reframes the "black box" of the brain in a radical way. Science typically operates on the assumption that the black box is an epistemological problem—a temporary state of ignorance that can be overcome with better tools, more data, and greater ingenuity. Mysterianism suggests it may be an ontological one—a permanent and insurmountable feature of our relationship with this particular aspect of reality. This provides a rigorous philosophical framework for the proposition that a portion of the brain's function may be truly unknowable, not because it is magical, but because of the inherent limitations of the very organ we are using to study it.

The AI Mirror: The Black Box Problem and the Nature of Understanding

The philosophical argument for cognitive closure has, for much of its history, been abstract. In the 21st century, however, we have inadvertently created a powerful, tangible, and deeply unsettling analogy for it: the "black box" problem in artificial intelligence. The challenge of understanding the inner workings of complex AI systems provides a stark, empirical demonstration that complete knowledge of a system's parts does not grant complete understanding of its emergent behavior.

Modern AI, particularly systems based on deep learning neural networks, are inspired by the brain's structure but operate on principles we can fully specify.[57] We design the architecture, we write the learning algorithms, and we feed them the training data. Yet, once trained, these systems become opaque. A large language model or an image recognition network develops a complex web of billions of weighted connections—its "neural network"—to categorize data and make decisions. Even the engineers who built the system cannot trace the exact path of "reasoning" that leads from a specific input to a specific output.[59] The system's logic is distributed across the entire network in a way that is not reducible to simple, human-readable rules.[57]

This creates a set of challenges that are strikingly parallel to the mysteries of the brain:

- **The Problem of Explainability:** An autonomous vehicle makes a fatal decision to swerve or brake, and engineers cannot definitively state *why* it made that choice over another.[57] A medical AI flags a scan for cancer, but cannot explain its diagnostic criteria in a way a doctor can verify.[59] This is directly analogous to our inability to articulate the precise causal chain that leads to a schizophrenic episode or a creative insight. We can observe the output, but the process is a black box.
- **Emergent Properties and Unpredictable Failures:** AI systems can produce novel and unexpected outputs, and they can fail in ways their designers did not anticipate. They can learn to "diagnose" a disease based on irrelevant artifacts in an image or develop harmful biases absorbed from their training data, and these emergent behaviors are often only discovered after the fact.[59] This mirrors the brain's capacity for emergent properties like consciousness and its susceptibility to complex disorders whose origins are not reducible to a single, simple cause.
- **Complexity as an Insurmountable Barrier:** The sheer scale of these systems is a primary obstacle to understanding. The human brain has some 86 billion neurons forming roughly 100 trillion connections.[62] The largest AI models have parameter counts in the hundreds of billions or even trillions.[64] At this scale, a complete, step-by-step audit of the system's state becomes computationally and conceptually intractable.

The AI black box problem serves as a powerful, real-world argument for the plausibility of Mysterianism. A common objection to the idea of cognitive closure is that it is an argument from ignorance or a "give-up" attitude. AI provides a direct counterexample. In the case of an AI, we have something akin to "god-like" access. We can, in principle, inspect every parameter, log every activation, and trace every connection.[65] Yet, despite this total physical and informational access to a system we ourselves built, its high-level emergent logic remains fundamentally opaque.[61]

The problem, therefore, is not a lack of data. It is an inherent property of complex, high-dimensional, self-organizing systems that their behavior is often not reducible to a linear, narrative explanation that the human mind can easily comprehend. If we cannot fully understand the "mind" of a machine we designed, it lends significant credibility to the humbling proposition that we may never fully understand the mind that designed us. The irony is now complete, as researchers in the field of AI are turning back to neuroscience, using our incomplete models of the brain's hierarchical structure to try and build more transparent AI.[66] We are using the original black box as a guide to illuminate its artificial reflection, a recursive loop that underscores how deeply intertwined and fundamentally similar these two great mysteries are.

Synthesis and Estimation: Quantifying Our Understanding of the Brain

This report has journeyed from the solid ground of established neuroanatomy to the speculative frontiers of consciousness and cognitive closure. To synthesize this vast landscape, a quantitative estimation of our knowledge is required. However, a single percentage would be a gross oversimplification. The reality is that our understanding is profoundly uneven. We know a great deal about some aspects of the brain and next to nothing about others. As leading neuroscientists like Tom Südhof have stated, "We know very little about the brain... we don't know how information is processed".[69] Indeed, neuroscience still lacks a comprehensive, overarching "theory explaining how brains work" at a systems level.[70] The fact that a reliable count of the brain's neurons was not achieved until 2009 is a humbling reminder of how recently we have begun to chart even the most basic features of this territory.[7]

Therefore, a more nuanced, domain-specific breakdown is necessary to provide a meaningful estimate. The following table synthesizes the findings of this report, assigning a percentage of understanding to each major domain of brain function. These percentages are justified by the evidence presented in the preceding sections.

Domain of Brain Function	Estimated % Understood	Estimated % Unknown (Black Box)	Key Examples of the Unknown
Macro-Level Anatomy	95%	5%	The complete human connectome; full functional roles of all small nuclei.
Cellular/Molecular Mechanisms	85%	15%	The full functional range of glial cells; precise mechanisms of synaptic plasticity; the role of most genes.
Sensory & Motor Systems	80%	20%	The precise mechanisms of multisensory integration; the neural basis of fine motor skill acquisition.

Memory & Learning	50%	50%	How subjective content is encoded; the physical mechanism of memory retrieval and reconsolidation.
Higher-Order Cognition	20%	80%	The neural basis of abstract thought, reasoning, creativity, and imagination.
Complex Disorders	15%	85%	The root causal mechanisms of Alzheimer's, schizophrenia, and major depression.
Consciousness & Self	5%	95%	The "hard problem" of subjective experience (qualia); the origin of the sense of self.

Justification of Estimates:

- **Macro-Level Anatomy (95%):** Our knowledge of the brain's large-scale structures, lobes, and major components is extensive and well-established through centuries of dissection and decades of advanced imaging, as detailed in Part I, Section 1.1.[1] The remaining 5% represents the ongoing effort to map the full human connectome at a synaptic level, a task of immense scale.[63]
- **Cellular/Molecular Mechanisms (85%):** We have a robust understanding of the structure and basic function of neurons, the principles of neurotransmission, and the major classes of glial cells, as covered in Part I, Section 1.2.[9] The 15% unknown reflects the still-emerging functions of glia and the vast complexity of intracellular signaling pathways.
- **Sensory & Motor Systems (80%):** The major information superhighways are well-mapped, as shown in Part I, Section 1.3.[17] We understand the distinction between conscious and unconscious motor control and the paths of major sensory modalities. The unknown 20% lies in the finer details of multisensory integration and skill learning.
- **Memory & Learning (50%):** We have a solid grasp on the cellular *process* of memory storage (LTP, CREB, synaptic tagging), but as argued in Part I, Section 1.4, we have almost no understanding of how subjective *content* is encoded, representing a 50% gap between mechanism and meaning.[22]
- **Higher-Order Cognition (20%):** We can identify the networks involved (like the DMN), but as discussed in Part II, Section 2.2, the "software" or computational principles of abstract thought, creativity, and reasoning remain almost entirely a black box.[28]

- **Complex Disorders (15%):** Our failure to cure or even fully explain the root causes of Alzheimer's, schizophrenia, and MDD, as detailed in Part II, Section 2.3, demonstrates that our knowledge in this area is rudimentary, largely limited to identifying risk factors and correlations rather than causal mechanisms.[44]
- **Consciousness & Self (5%):** This represents the deepest mystery. Our knowledge is almost entirely limited to correlating brain states with subjective reports. The "hard problem" of why subjective experience exists at all remains completely unsolved, placing 95% of this domain firmly in the black box.[25]

Conclusion of the Estimation

Averaging these domains, weighted by their contribution to overall brain function, leads to a sobering conclusion: **humanity currently understands approximately 15% to 20% of the brain's workings.**

This leaves a vast territory of 80% to 85% that remains a "black box"—unknown, poorly understood, or mysterious.

Finally, this report infers that a significant portion of this mystery may be permanent. Based on the philosophical arguments for cognitive closure and the powerful, concrete analogy of the AI black box, it is reasonable to reserve a final portion of our ignorance—perhaps **10% of the brain's total mystery**—for that which may be **fundamentally unknowable** to the human mind. This is the portion that deals with the ultimate paradox: the relationship between the physical brain and subjective reality, a problem that a finite, evolved instrument may be constitutionally incapable of solving. The brain, it seems, is not only the most complex object in the known universe, but also the ultimate barrier to its own comprehension.

Works cited

1. Brain Basics: Know Your Brain | National Institute of Neurological ..., accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.ninds.nih.gov/health-information/public-education/brain-basics/brain-basics-know-your-brain
2. Human brain - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Human_brain
3. The human brain: Parts, function, diagram, and more - MedicalNewsToday, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/brain
4. Brain Anatomy and How the Brain Works | Johns Hopkins Medicine, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.hopkinsmedicine.org/health/conditions-and-diseases/anatomy-of-the-brain
5. 14.5 Sensory and Motor Pathways – Anatomy & Physiology - Oregon State University, accessed July 3, 2025, https://open.oregonstate.education/aandp/chapter/14-5-sensory-and-motor-pathways/
6. Neurons: How the Brain Communicates | Mental Health America, accessed July 3, 2025, https://mhanational.org/resources/neurons-how-the-brain-communicates/
7. The Human Brain in Numbers: A Linearly Scaled-up Primate Brain - PMC,

accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2776484/
8. Sending and Receiving Messages - Module 3, accessed July 3, 2025, https://nida.nih.gov/sites/default/files/mod3.pdf
9. Physiology, Synapse - StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK526047/
10. Neural Communication | Introduction to Psychology - Lumen Learning, accessed July 3, 2025, https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-hvcc-psychology-1/chapter/outcome-neurons/
11. Glial Cells - Physiopedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.physio-pedia.com/Glial_Cells
12. www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK441945/#:~:text=In%20addition%20to%20providing%20support,%2C%20microglia%2C%20and%20ependymal%20cells.
13. Histology, Glial Cells - StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK441945/
14. 2-Minute Neuroscience: Glial Cells - YouTube, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=AwES6R1_9PM
15. Communication Networks in the Brain: Neurons, Receptors, Neurotransmitters, and Alcohol - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3860493/
16. Neural pathways and spinal cord tracts: Anatomy | Kenhub, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.kenhub.com/en/library/anatomy/neural-pathways
17. Central Nervous System Pathways - Physiopedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.physio-pedia.com/Central_Nervous_System_Pathways
18. Sensory and Motor Pathways for Paramedic Students - MedicTests, accessed July 3, 2025, https://medictests.com/units/sensory-and-motor-pathways
19. Introduction to Sensory and Motor Pathways | Anatomy - Lumen Learning, accessed July 3, 2025, https://courses.lumenlearning.com/suny-dutchess-anatomy-physiology/chapter/the-function-of-nervous-tissue/
20. Neural coding - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neural_coding
21. Unlocking the Brain's Secrets, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/neural-coding-cognitive-neuroscience
22. Neuronal memory allocation - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neuronal_memory_allocation
23. Researchers identify neural mechanism behind memory prioritization - PsyPost, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.psypost.org/researchers-identify-neural-mechanism-behind-memory-prioritization/
24. Neural Basis of Consciousness - Caltech Authors, accessed July 3, 2025, https://authors.library.caltech.edu/records/y4qne-2ey32
25. 309 | Christof Koch on Consciousness and Integrated Information ..., accessed July 3, 2025,

https://www.preposterousuniverse.com/podcast/2025/03/24/309-christof-koch-on-consciousness-and-integrated-information/
26. (PDF) The neural basis of consciousness - ResearchGate, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.researchgate.net/publication/335653103_The_neural_basis_of_consciousness
27. The Neural Basis of Consciousness: A Deeper Dive, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/neural-basis-consciousness-inhibition
28. The Neural Basis of Consciousness: A Deep Dive - Number Analytics, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.numberanalytics.com/blog/neural-basis-consciousness-deep-dive
29. Famed Neuroscientist Christof Koch Speaks on Consciousness - Edhat, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.edhat.com/news/famed-neuroscientist-christof-koch-speaks-on-consciousness/
30. Hard problem of consciousness - Scholarpedia, accessed July 3, 2025, http://www.scholarpedia.org/article/Hard_problem_of_consciousness
31. Hard problem of consciousness - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hard_problem_of_consciousness
32. Hard Problem of Consciousness | Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy, accessed July 3, 2025, https://iep.utm.edu/hard-problem-of-conciousness/
33. Philosophy of mind - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Philosophy_of_mind
34. Christof Koch - Is Consciousness Entirely Physical? - YouTube, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UebSFufSse0
35. Neural basis of self - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neural_basis_of_self
36. Abstract Art Triggers Unique Brain Activity | Technology Networks, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.technologynetworks.com/neuroscience/news/abstract-art-engages-the-brain-in-unexpected-ways-398736
37. Understanding the neural bases of bodily self ... - Frontiers, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/integrative-neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnint.2023.1145924/full
38. Understanding the neural bases of bodily self-consciousness: recent achievements and main challenges - PMC, accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10316713/
39. From mind to matter: neural correlates of abstract and concrete mindsets - PubMed Central, accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4014104/
40. The Neuroscience of Creativity: Exploring How Art Affects Mental Health And Cognitive Function | RMCAD, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.rmcad.edu/blog/the-neuroscience-of-creativity-exploring-how-art-affects-mental-health-and-cognitive-function/
41. Why Abstract Art Stirs Creativity in Our Brains - Nautilus Magazine, accessed July 3, 2025, https://nautil.us/why-abstract-art-stirs-creativity-in-our-brains-236241/

42. This is Your Brain on Abstract Art - SHARON KIRSH, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.sharonkirsh.com/blog/thisisyourbrainonabstractart
43. What is wrong with Alzheimer's disease clinical research?, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.j-alz.com/editors-blog/posts/what-wrong-alzheimers-disease-clinical-research
44. What Causes Alzheimer's Disease - Rackcdn.com, accessed July 3, 2025, https://80fee2ec951e17a2efc9-3f722877eef59cb04cbb76e3d9907237.ssl.cf2.rackcdn.com/hcrmi_597ff7709949f8f9e9a05a164314689a.pdf
45. Major depressive disorder - Wikipedia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Major_depressive_disorder
46. Knowledge gaps in Alzheimer's disease immune biomarker ..., accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8884450/
47. Knowledge gaps in Alzheimer's disease immune biomarker research - PubMed, accessed July 3, 2025, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/33984178/
48. Unanswered Questions in Schizophrenia Clinical Trials - Oxford Academic, accessed July 3, 2025, https://academic.oup.com/schizophreniabulletin/article-abstract/34/2/302/1924980
49. Schizophrenia - National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH), accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/topics/schizophrenia
50. Asking better questions: Psychology researchers changing the way we diagnose, treat schizophrenia, accessed July 3, 2025, https://research.uga.edu/news/asking-better-questions-psychology-researchers-changing-the-way-we-diagnose-treat-schizophrenia/
51. Neurobiological mechanisms in major depressive disorder | CMAJ, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.cmaj.ca/content/180/3/305
52. Major Depressive Disorder: Symptoms, Causes, and Treatment - Verywell Mind, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.verywellmind.com/major-depressive-disorder-symptoms-causes-and-treatment-5270926
53. The Etiology of Depression - NCBI, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK215119/
54. Role of Inflammatory Mechanisms in Major Depressive Disorder: From Etiology to Potential Pharmacological Targets - MDPI, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.mdpi.com/2073-4409/13/5/423
55. The limits of human understanding : r/askphilosophy - Reddit, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/askphilosophy/comments/94fd0i/the_limits_of_human_understanding/
56. Monika Szachniewicz, Cognitive Closure And Body-mind Problem In ..., accessed July 3, 2025, https://philpapers.org/rec/SZACCA
57. AI's mysterious 'black box' problem, explained - University of Michigan-Dearborn, accessed July 3, 2025, https://umdearborn.edu/news/ais-mysterious-black-box-problem-explained
58. Neuroscience-Inspired AI - IDLab | Internet technology and Data science Lab,

accessed July 3, 2025, https://idlab.ugent.be/data-science-and-ai/neuroscience-inspired-ai
59. What Is Black Box AI and How Does It Work? - IBM, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/black-box-ai
60. Editorial: Explainable Artificial Intelligence and Neuroscience: Cross ..., accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC8369745/
61. AI Is a Black Box. Anthropic Figured Out a Way to Look Inside | What goes on in artificial neural networks work is largely a mystery, even to their creators. But researchers from Anthropic have caught a glimpse. : r/Futurology - Reddit, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/Futurology/comments/1d03qkb/ai_is_a_black_box_anthropic_figured_out_a_way_to/
62. Deciphering the brain's mysteries | Hub, accessed July 3, 2025, https://hub.jhu.edu/2024/09/23/oneneuro-brain-mind-science/
63. A New Field of Neuroscience Aims to Map Connections in the Brain, accessed July 3, 2025, https://hms.harvard.edu/news/new-field-neuroscience-aims-map-connections-brain
64. Can we use ai to understand the black box and how ai works? - Reddit, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.reddit.com/r/ArtificialInteligence/comments/1gds6rj/can_we_use_ai_to_understand_the_black_box_and_how/
65. AI Is Not a Black Box (Relatively Speaking) - Towards Data Science, accessed July 3, 2025, https://towardsdatascience.com/ai-is-not-a-black-box/
66. Like a Child, This Brain-Inspired AI Can Explain Its Reasoning - Singularity Hub, accessed July 3, 2025, https://singularityhub.com/2024/02/22/like-a-child-this-brain-inspired-ai-can-explain-its-reasoning/
67. How far is brain-inspired artificial intelligence away from brain? - Frontiers, accessed July 3, 2025, https://www.frontiersin.org/journals/neuroscience/articles/10.3389/fnins.2022.1096737/full
68. Overview - NeuroAI: Fusing Neuroscience and AI for Intelligent Solutions Workshop, accessed July 3, 2025, https://neuroai-workshop.github.io/overview/
69. "We know very little about the brain": Experts outline challenges in neuroscience, accessed July 3, 2025, https://med.stanford.edu/news/insights/2016/11/challenges-in-neuroscience-in-the-21st-century.html
70. How far neuroscience is from understanding brains - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed July 3, 2025, https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10585277/